Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries



Soil carbon sequestration and GHGs mitigation in the agriculture sector Experience in paddy rice cultivation



Manage agricultural soils to achieve both sustainable food production and climate change mitigation

Based on long term soil monitoring, we have developed models for evaluating the effects of paddyagricultural management on soil carbon sequestration and greenhouse gasses (GHGs) emissions at country-scale. We are using this calculation system for national GHGs inventory report as well as future projection of soil C and GHGs emission with changing climate and agricultural management practices.

Soil Carbon Calculation System in Japanese National Inventory Report; IPCC Tier 3 Approach

Agricultural Soil Monitoring

National program has been conducted since 1979. Physicochemical properties and soil management records are surveyed at 4000 – 20,000 sites, to develop Soil C stock map.

Modified RothC Model for Soil Carbon and DNDC-Rice for CH4 from rice paddies

The Rothamsted Carbon (RothC) model, a leading soil carbon turnover model, was validated and modified for Japanese agricultural soils, particularly for paddy rice soils and Andosols. DNDC-Rice model simulates rice growth and GHG emissions. It is used to predict CH_4 emissions under different climate, water and organic matter managements.





□ Future Projection of GHG Emissions

- Trade-off between soil C sequestration and other GHGs emissions
- These models were used to estimate GHG emissions under different agriculture management scenarios in Japan.

Similar stories are expected in other countries where intensive rice cultivation is conducted. Scientific findings in Japan are shared through cooperation with international projects; e.g. MIRSA (Greenhouse Gas Mitigation in Irrigated Rice Paddies in Southeast Asia) by International Rice Research Institute. Modified RothC model (left) and DNDC-Rice model (right) © NARO

| Scenario | C input | Paddy water management | N fertilizer | Mitigation potential vs. BAU (kt CO ₂ -eq./yr : minus: mitigation) | | | | | |
|---------------------------|--------------|---------------------------|--------------|--|---------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|--|
| | | | | CO ₂ (Soil C) | CH ₄ | N ₂ O | CO ₂ (Fossil fuel) | Total emissions | |
| BAU | conventional | conventional | conventional | 939 | 18,052 | 3,857 | 15,699 | 38,547 | |
| Mitigation1 | +10% | conventional | conventional | -903 | +1,637 | +471 | | +1,205 | |
| Mitigation2 | +10% | Extend MSD* | conventional | -903 | -1,316 | +471 | | -1,748 | |
| Mitigation3 | +10% | Extend MSD* | -10% | -903 | -1,316 | +234 | | -1,985 | |
| *MSD: Mid-season drainage | | | | | Average of 2014-2050 (per year) | | | | |

Future projection of agricultural soil GHG emissions © NARO

Contact

Akiko Nagano

Deputy Director, Environment Policy Office, Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries (MAFF), Japan http://www.maff.go.jp/e/index.html

Yasuhito Shirato

Head, Soil Biogeochemistry and modeling Unit, Institute for Garo-Environmental Sciences, National Agriculture and Food Research Organization (NARO), Japan http://www.naro.affrc.go.jp/english/index.html

Initiative 4pour1000

Montpellier (France) Juin 2017 Increasing C input to soils can decrease CO₂ emission but increase CH₄ and N₂O.
It is therefore necessary to combine mitigation options for CH₄ and N₂O to those for soil C.